



THE HOLLINS

COMPLAINTS POLICY (EXAMS)

Date agreed: January 2025

Date to be reviewed: January 2026

Complaints Policy

Centre name	The Hollins
Centre number	47203
Date policy first created	15/01/2025
Date of next review	15/01/2026

Key staff involved in the policy

Role	Name
Head of centre	Samantha Haydock
Senior leader(s)	Paula Hargreaves
Exams officer	Vanessa Pilkington
Other staff (if applicable)	

This procedure is reviewed and updated annually to ensure that the complaints at The Hollins are managed in accordance with current requirements and regulations.

Reference in this procedure to GR refers to the JCQ publication **General Regulations for Approved Centres**.

Purpose of the policy

The purpose of this policy is to confirm the arrangements for complaints at The Hollins and confirms compliance with JCQ's **General Regulations for Approved Centres** (sections 5.3, 5.8) in drawing to the attention of candidates and their parents/carers its written complaints and appeals procedure which covers general complaints regarding the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification.

Grounds for complaint

A candidate at The Hollins may make a complaint on the grounds below (This is not an exhaustive list).

Teaching and Learning

- Non-subject specialist teacher without adequate training/subject matter expertise utilised on a long-term basis
- Teacher lacking knowledge of new specification/incorrect core content studied/taught
- Core content not adequately covered
- Inadequate feedback for a candidate following assessment(s)
- Pre-release/advance material/set task issued by the awarding body not provided on time to an examination candidate
- The taking of an assessment, which contributes to the final grade of the qualification, not conducted according to the JCQ/awarding body instructions
- Candidate not informed of their centre assessed mark prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- Candidate not informed of their centre assessed mark in sufficient time to request/appeal a review of marking prior to marks being submitted to the awarding body
- Candidate not given sufficient time to review materials to make a decision whether to request a review of the centre assessed mark
- Candidate unhappy with internal assessment decision (complainant to refer to the centre's **internal appeals procedure**)
- Centre fails to adhere to its internal appeals procedure

Access arrangements and special consideration

- Candidate not assessed by the centre's appointed assessor
- Candidate not involved in decisions made regarding their access arrangements
- Candidate did not consent to record their personal data online (by the non-acquisition of a completed **candidate personal data consent form**)
- Candidate not informed/adequately informed of the arrangement(s) in place and the subjects or components of subjects where the arrangement(s) would not apply
- Examination information not appropriately adapted for a disabled candidate to access it
- Adapted equipment/assistive technology put in place failed during examination/assessment

- Approved access arrangement(s) not put in place at the time of an examination/assessment
- Appropriate arrangement(s) not put in place at the time of an examination/assessment as a consequence of a temporary injury or impairment
- Candidate unhappy with centre decision relating to access arrangements or special consideration (complainant to refer to the centre's **internal appeals procedure**)
- Centre fails to adhere to its internal appeals procedure

Entries

- Failure to clearly explain a decision of early entry for a qualification to candidate (or parent/carer)
- Candidate not entered/entered late (incurring a late entry fee) for a required examination/assessment
- Candidate entered for a wrong examination/assessment
- Candidate entered for a wrong tier of entry

Conducting examinations

- Failure to adequately brief candidate on examination timetable/regulations prior to examination/assessment taking place
- Room in which assessment held did not provide candidate with appropriate conditions for taking the examination
- Inadequate invigilation in examination room
- Failure to conduct the examination according to the regulations
- Online system failed during (on-screen) examination/assessment
- Disruption during the examination/assessment
- Alleged, suspected or actual malpractice incident not investigated/reported
- Failure to inform/update candidate on the accepted/rejected outcome of a special consideration application if provided by awarding body

Results and Post-Results

- Before examinations, candidate not made aware of the arrangements for post-results services and the availability of senior members of centre staff after the publication of results

- Candidate not having access to a member of senior staff after the publication of results to discuss/make a decision on the submission of a results review/enquiry
- Candidate request for return of work after moderation and work not available/disposed of earlier than allowed in the regulations
- Candidate unhappy with a result (complainant to refer via exams officer to awarding body **post-results services**)
- Candidate unhappy with a centre decision not to support a clerical re-check, a review of marking, a review of moderation or an appeal (complainant to refer to the centre's **internal appeals procedure**)
- Centre fails to adhere to its internal appeals procedure
- Centre applied for the wrong post-results service/for the wrong script for a candidate
- Centre missed awarding body deadline to apply for a post-results service
- Centre applied for a post-results service for a candidate without gaining required candidate consent/permission

Raising a concern/complaint

If a candidate has a general concern or complaint about the centre's delivery or administration of a qualification, The Hollins encourages an informal resolution in the first instance. This can be undertaken in writing, complaints should be made by letter, emailed to the Head of Centre to head@thehollins.com.

If a concern or complaint fails to be resolved informally, the candidate is then at liberty to make a formal complaint.

How to make a formal complaint

All documentation relating to the submission of a formal complaint is available from, and should be returned to The Head of Centre: Mrs S Haydock. Formal complaints will be logged and acknowledged within 10 working days.

To make a formal complaint, candidates must email: head@thehollins.com

How a formal complaint is investigated

The Head of Centre, Mrs S Haydock, or a senior member of staff not involved in the complaint, will investigate the complaint and a report on the findings and the conclusion

The findings and conclusion of any investigation will be provided to the complainant within 30 working days after acknowledgement of the complaint.

Internal appeals procedure

Following the outcome, if the complainant remains dissatisfied and believes there are clear grounds, an appeal can be submitted.

To submit an appeal, candidates must complete an internal appeals form which should be submitted within 2 working days.

Appeals will be logged and acknowledged within 30 calendar days.

The appeal will be referred to Head of Centre Mrs S Haydock.

It will be the responsibility of The Head of Centre Mrs S Haydock to inform the appellant of the final conclusion in accordance with the internal appeals procedure.